

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa
Tel No. 0832-2437880/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in
website: www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No. 114/2024/SIC

Shri. Jawaharlal Shetye,
R/o. H.No. 35/A, Ward No. 11,
Khorlim, Mapusa,
Bardez-Goa.

.....Appellant

V/S

1.Public Information Officer,
Shri. Nilesh Lingudkar, Head Clerk,
Office of the Mapusa Municipal Council,
Mapusa-Goa.

2. The First Appellate Authority,
Shri. Chandrakant Shetkar, Chief Officer,
Office of the Mapusa Municipal Council,
Mapusa-Goa.

.....Respondents

Shri. Atmaram R. Barve

State Information Commissioner

Filed on: 14/05/2024
Decided on: 28/04/2025
Detailed Order passed on: 22/04/2026

ORDER

1. The present second appeal arises out of the Right to Information (RTI) application dated 10/01/2024 made by the Appellant, Shri. Jawaharlal Shetye and addressed to the Public Information Officer (PIO) at office of Mapusa Municipal Council, Mapusa-Goa.
2. It is contended by the Appellant herein, that the PIO did not issue any communication to the said RTI application with stipulated time period of 30 days upon which the Appellant

had to preferred first appeal dated 13/02/2024 before the competent authority

3. The First Appellate Authority (FAA) vide order dated 12/04/2024 directed the PIO to furnish desired information to the Appellant within a week's time from the date of order.
4. Non-compliance of the order of the FAA by the PIO, the Appellant herein preferred second appeal vide appeal memo dated 14/05/2024 before this Commission.
5. Notices were issued and matter came up to be heard from 09/12/2024 onwards.
6. During the hearing on 14/01/2025, the Commissioner issued show cause notice to the Respondent as well as Appellant on the ground that on the request of the Appellant, matters filed by him were clubbed together and were supposed to be heard extensively on the common date but neither the Appellant nor the Respondent appeared.
7. During the hearing on 28/04/2025, the PIO, Shri. Nilesh Lingudkar submitted that the relevant information as per the RTI application has been furnished to the Appellant and Appellant has also done endorsement that "I have received the requested information to my satisfaction, hence the matter may be disposed off accordingly".
8. Therefore, in view of the above endorsement made by the Appellant, matter is disposed off.
 - No order as to cost.
 - Parties to be provided authenticated copies of the order.

- Aggrieved party if any, may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

SD/-

(ATMARAM R. BARVE)

State Information Commissioner